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## 1. Introduction

This paper, which continues the authors' work on the dual versions of fundamental approximation theorems [8], but can be read independently, deals with the saturation behaviour of a family of dual operators $\left\{T_{t}^{\prime} ; t \in(0,1 \mid\}\right.$, where $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is a commutative, strong approximation process on a Banach space $X$ satisfying a so called Voronovskaja-type relation (see (1.4) below).

Since there exist several definitions of the saturation property differing somewhat from each other (e.g. [1, p. 25; 2, p. 87; 4, p. 434; 5, p. 49], let us just recall that one which seems to be the most appropriate for our setting.

Definition 1. Let $\left\{T_{t} ; t \in(0,1 \|\}\right.$ be a family of bounded linear operators mapping a Banach space $X$ into itself. $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is said to possess the saturation property, if there exists a positive function $\varphi$, defined on $(0,1]$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t)=0$, such that: (i) for every $f \in X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0+} \|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left|T_{i} f-f\right| i_{X}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

there holds $T_{t} f=f$ for small $t$, i.e., $f$ is an invariant element of $T_{t}$, and (ii) the set

$$
F\left|X ; T_{t}\right|:=\left\{f \in X ;\left\|T_{t} f-f\right\|_{x}=\mathscr{C}(\varphi(t)), t \rightarrow 0+\right\}
$$

contains at least one non-invariant element $f_{0}$.
In this event, the family $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is said to be saturated in $X$ with order $\rho(\varphi(t))$, and $\left.F \mid X ; T_{t}\right]$ is called its Favard or saturation class.

It is also possible to consider sequences of operators $\left\{T_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ( $\mathbb{N}=$ naturals ). One need just replace $t \in(0,1 \mid$ by $k \in \mathbb{N}, t \rightarrow 0+$ by $k \rightarrow \infty$.
and $\varphi(t)$ by $\varphi(1 / k)$ whenever they occur. Then all results given below will remain valid for this case.

One of the main results concerning saturation, due to H . Berens $[1$, Satz 3.2], is given in

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{T_{t} ; t \in(0,1]\right\}$ be a commutative, strong approximation process on a Banach space $X$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{t} T_{s} f=T_{s} T_{t} f \quad(f \in X ; s, t \in(0,1])  \tag{1.2}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\|T_{t} f-f\right\|_{X}=0 \quad(f \in X) \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $B$ a closed linear operator with domain $D(B) \subset X$ and range $R(B) \subset X$, satisfying the Voronovskaja-type relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t} g-g\right]-B g\right\|_{X}=0 \quad(g \in D(B)) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi$ given as in Definition 1. Suppose that there exists a regularization process $\left\{J_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, i.e., a sequence of bounded linear operators from $X$ into itself such that $J_{n}(X) \subset D(B)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J_{n} f-f\right\|_{X}=0 \quad(f \in X)  \tag{1.5}\\
J_{n} T_{t} f=T_{t} J_{n} f \quad(f \in X ; n \in \mathbb{N} ; t \in(0,1]) \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

(a) If $f \in X$ is such that (1.1) holds, then $f \in D(B)$ and $B f=0$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent for $f \in X$ :
(i) $\left\|T_{t} f-f\right\|_{X}=\mathscr{O}(\varphi(t))(t \rightarrow 0+)$,
(ii) $f \in \widetilde{D(B)^{x}}$, i.e., $f$ belongs to the completion of $D(B)$ relative to $X$.

For the definition of the relative completion recall [1, p. 14; 4, p. 373]. In (ii) as well as in the following $D(B)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{D(B)}:=\|g\|_{X}+\|B g\|_{X} \quad(g \in D(B)) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Theorem 1 does not state that $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is saturated, since the conclusion of part (a), namely, $B f=0$, does not necessarily imply $T_{t} f=f$ for small $t$. In many applications, however, this will be the case, so that this result is a useful tool for proving saturation theorems for particular approximation processes.

The aim of this paper now is to prove two counterparts of Theorem 1 for
the family $\left\{T_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ of dual operators. Our results generalize in particular those of de Leeuw $|7|$ and Butzer-Berens $\mid 2$, Corollary 2.1.5| concerning saturation of dual semigroups of operators.

## 2. Preliminaries

Concerning notations, if $X, Y$ are normed linear spaces, then $|X, Y|$ is the space of all bounded linear operators from $X$ into $Y$. endowed with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{[X, Y]}$. Instead of $|X, X|$ we write $|X|$.

If $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ are the dual spaces of $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and $T \in|X, Y|$, then the dual operator $T^{\prime}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{\prime} f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, T f\right\rangle \quad\left(f^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}: f \in X\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an element of $\left|Y^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T^{\prime}\right|_{\left.\mid y^{\prime}, x_{]}\right]}=\mid T \|_{|X, Y|} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $Y$ is a Banach space and the range of $T$ equals $Y$, in notation $R(T)=Y$, then $T^{\prime}$ has a continuous inverse. i.e.. $\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in\left|X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right|$ (see $\mid 9$. Section 4.5 and Theorem 4.7B|).

Now let $B$ be a linear operator (not necessarily bounded) with domain $D(B)$ dense in $X$ into $X$. The operator $B^{*}$, also called the dual of $B$, is a mapping whose domain $D\left(B^{*}\right)$ consists of all $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ for which there exists a $g^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle g^{\prime}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, B g\right\rangle \quad(g \in D(B)) ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case one sets $B^{*} f^{\prime}=g^{\prime}$. It is clear that $D\left(B^{*}\right)$ is a linear manifold in $X^{\prime}$, and that $B^{*}$ is a linear operator from $D\left(B^{*}\right)$ into $X^{\prime}$.

On the other hand, since $B$ becomes a bounded operator when regarded as a mapping from $D(B)$ (endowed with the norm (1.7)) into $X$. one can also consider the operator $B^{\prime} \in\left|X^{\prime}, D(B)^{\prime}\right|$. It follows that $B^{*}$ is the restriction of $B^{\prime}$ to those $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ for which $B^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ has a continuous extension from $D(B)$ to $X$, in other words, $f^{\prime}$ belongs to $D\left(B^{*}\right)$ if and only if $B^{\prime} f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Note that the extension of $B^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ from $D(B)$ to $X$ is unique since $D(B)$ is dense in $X$ (cf. $\mid 6$, p. $50 \mid$ ).

The following lemmas will be needed below:

Lemma 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 there holds:
(i) $D(B)$ is dense in $X$ :
(ii) $T_{t} \in|D(B)|(t \in(0,1 \mid)$;
(iii) $T_{t} B g=B T_{t} g(g \in D(B) ; t \in(0,1 \mid)$.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from (1.5) since $J_{n} f \in D(B)$. To prove (ii) and (iii) note that $g$ belongs to $D(B)$ and $B g=f$ if and only if $s-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t} g-g\right]=f(\mathrm{cf} .[4$, p. 502]). Now, if $g \in D(B)$, then

$$
\underset{s-\lim _{s \rightarrow 0+}}{ } \frac{T_{s} T_{t} g-T_{t} g}{\varphi(s)}=T_{t}\left[\underset{s-\lim _{s \rightarrow 0+}}{ } \frac{T_{s} g-g}{\varphi(s)}\right]=T_{t} B g
$$

implying $T_{t} g \in D(B)$ and $B T_{t} g=T_{t} B g$, which is (iii). Furthermore, part (ii) follows in view of

$$
\left\|T_{t} g\right\|_{D(B)}=\left\|T_{t} g\right\|_{X}+\left\|T_{t} B g\right\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|T_{t}\right\|_{[X]}\|g\|_{D(B)}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $\left\{T_{t} ; t \in(0,1]\right\}$ and $B$ be given as in Theorem 1. Then there exist $M, \delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t}-I\right]\right\|_{[D(B), X]} \leqslant M & (0<t \leqslant \delta)  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t}^{\prime}-I\right]\right\|_{\left[X^{\prime}, D(B)^{\prime}\right]} \leqslant M & (0<t \leqslant \delta) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where I denotes the identity operator on any space.
The proof of (2.4) follows by the uniform boundedness principle in view of (1.4), noting that $D(B)$ is a Banach space under the norm (1.7); inequality (2.5) can be deduced from (2.4) by (2.2).

## 3. Two General Dual Saturation Theorems

The aim of this section is to prove two counterparts of Theorem 1 for the family $\left\{T_{t}^{\prime} ; t \in(0,1]\right\}$ of dual operators, where $\left\{T_{t}\right\}$ is given as in Theorem 1. It follows obviously from the definition and (1.3) that $\left\{T_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a family of bounded linear operators from $X^{\prime}$ into itself, satisfying

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\langle T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle \quad\left(f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} ; f \in X\right)
$$

i.e., $T_{t}^{\prime}$ tends in the $w^{*}$-topology of $X^{\prime}$ towards the identity.

Similarly, since $B$ is a bounded linear operator from $D(B)$ normed by (1.7) into $X$, it follows that $B^{\prime} \in\left[X^{\prime}, D(B)^{\prime}\right]$, and in view of (1.4) there holds the Voronovskaja-type relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\langle(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right], g\right\rangle=\left\langle B^{\prime} f^{\prime}, g\right\rangle \quad\left(f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} ; g \in D(B)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $\left\{T_{f} ; t \in(0,1]\right\}, B$ and $\left\{J_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be given as in Theorem 1. Suppose, in addition, that there exists a complex number $\lambda$ such that $R(B-\lambda I)=X$.
(a) If $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ is such that $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1} \mid T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=0$. then $f^{\prime} \in D\left(B^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*} f^{\prime}=0$ on $X$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent for $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ :
(i) $\left\|T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{x^{\prime}}=O(\varphi(t))(t \rightarrow 0+)$,
(ii) $f^{\prime} \in D\left(B^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Concerning part (a), there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{j} ; j \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset(0,1\}$ with $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} t_{j}=0$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\|\left(\varphi\left(t_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\left|T_{t_{j}}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=0\right.
$$

This yields for all $g \in D(B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\left(\varphi\left(t_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\right| T_{t_{j}}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}|, g\rangle \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f^{\prime},\left(\varphi\left(t_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}\right| T_{t_{j}} g-g| \rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, B g\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

giving $f^{\prime} \in D\left(B^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*} f^{\prime}=0$ by (2.3).
As to part (b), setting $B_{t}:=(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left|T_{t}-I\right|$, one has by (i) that the family $\left\{B_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\} \subset X^{\prime}$, regarded as a family of operators from $X$ into the space of complex numbers, is uniformly bounded for $0<t \leqslant \delta$, and strongly convergent for $t \rightarrow 0+$ on a dense subset of $X$ by (3.2). An application of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (cf. |4, Proposition 0.7.3|) then yields the existence of a $g^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}\left\langle B_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle g^{\prime}, f\right\rangle \quad(f \in X)
$$

Comparing this with (3.2) reveals that

$$
\left\langle g^{\prime}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle B^{\prime} f^{\prime}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, B g\right\rangle \quad(g \in D(B)),
$$

and so it follows that $f^{\prime} \in D\left(B^{*}\right)$.
Conversely, since $R(B-\lambda I)=X$ implies that $B^{\prime}-\lambda I$ has a continuous inverse from $D(B)^{\prime}$ into $X^{\prime}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-B^{*} f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} & =\|\left.\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)\left(B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{*}\right) f^{\prime}\right|_{\star} \\
& \leqslant M\left\|\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)\left(B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{*}\right) f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(B)} \\
& =M\left\|\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)\left(B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

noting that $B^{\prime} f^{\prime}=B^{*} f^{\prime}$ on $D(B)$. Now one easily verifies by Lemma 1 (iii)
that $\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)$ commutes with $\left(B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)$, and so in view of $(2.5)$ there follows the estimate

$$
\left\|B_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-B^{*} f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leqslant M\left\|B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right\|_{\left[X^{\prime}, D(B)^{\prime}\right)}\left\|\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right) f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=C(1) \quad(t \rightarrow 0+)
$$

This gives assertion (i), and the proof is complete.
It should be mentioned that the existence of the regularization process $\left\{J_{n}\right\}$, which is not explicitely used in the proof of Theorem 2, is needed for the proof of Lemma 1 (iii) which in turn was used to show that ( $B^{\prime}-\lambda I$ ) commutes with $\left(B_{t}^{\prime}-B^{\prime}\right)$. If $T_{t}$ maps $X$ into $D(B)$, which is the case in many applications, then one may take $J_{n}=T_{1 / n}$.

Now we come to the second counterpart of Theorem 1. Since $T_{t}$ belongs also to $|D(B)|$, one can in addition treat saturation of $\left\{T_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\left[D(B)^{\prime}\right]$. In this case one has to assume that the $J_{n}$ map $X$ continuously into $D(B)$.

Lemma 3. Let $\left\{T_{t}\right\}, B$ and $\left\{J_{n}\right\}$ be given as in Theorem 1, and assume that $J_{n} \in[X, D(B)]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then
(i) $J_{n} B g=B J_{n} g(g \in D(B) ; n \in \mathbb{N})$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J_{n} g-g\right\|_{D(B)}=0(g \in D(B))$;
(iii) $\left\|J_{n}\right\|_{[D(B) \mid} \leqslant M(n \in \mathbb{N})$;
(iv) $J_{n}^{\prime} B^{\prime} g^{\prime}=B^{\prime} J_{n}^{\prime} g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \in D(B)^{\prime} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$;
(v) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}, g\right\rangle=\left\langle f^{\prime}, g\right\rangle\left(f^{\prime} \in D(B)^{\prime} ; g \in D(B)\right)$;
(vi) $\left\|J_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\left[D(B)^{\prime}\right]} \leqslant M(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Proof. Assertion (i) can be proved similarly as Lemma 1(iii) using (1.6); (ii) then follows by (1.5). Regarding (iii), one has again to apply the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, noting (ii) and the fact that $\left\{J_{n}\right\} \subset[D(B)]$. Statements (iv), (v) and (vi), finally, are the dual versions of (i), (ii) and (iii).

Our second result now reads
Theorem 3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied and suppose, in addition, that $\left\{J_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset[X, D(B)]$.
(a) If $f^{\prime} \in D(B)^{\prime}$ is such that $\lim _{\inf _{t \rightarrow 0+}}\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left[T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right]\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}}$ $=0$, then $f^{\prime}$ has a continuous extension from $D(B)$ to $X$, belongs to $D\left(B^{*}\right)$ and $B^{*} f^{\prime}=0$ on $X$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent for $f^{\prime} \in D(B)^{\prime}$ :
(i) $\left\|T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}}=\varnothing(\varphi(t))(t \rightarrow 0+)$,
(ii) $f^{\prime}$ has a continuous extension from $D(B)$ to $X$, i.e., $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$.

Proof. We prove only part (b) since (a) follows by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2. Now for $f^{\prime} \in D(B)^{\prime}$ one has $J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} & =\left\|\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right)^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}-\lambda I\right) J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \\
& \leqslant M\left\{\left\|^{\prime} J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}}+|\lambda| \mid J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime} \|_{\left.D(B)^{\prime}\right\}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.6) and (i) one can estimate the first term by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B^{\prime} J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}} & \left.\leqslant \liminf _{t \rightarrow 0+} \| J_{n}^{\prime}\left|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\right| T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right] \mid \|_{D(B)} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{t \in(0, \delta)}\left\|(\varphi(t))^{-1}\left|T_{t}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right|\right\|_{D(B)^{\prime}} \mid J_{n}^{\prime} \|_{\left[D(B)^{\prime} \mid\right.} \\
& \leqslant M\left\|J_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\left[D(B)^{\prime} \mid\right.} \quad(n \in \mathbb{V})
\end{aligned}
$$

so that together

$$
\left\|J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \leqslant\left\{M+\left|\lambda j^{i}\right| f^{\prime} \|_{D(B)}\right\} \mid J_{n}^{\prime} \|_{\mid D(B, \prime} \leqslant M_{1} \quad(n \in J)
$$

the latter inequality being valid by Lemma 3 (vi). So the sequence $\left\{J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as convergent on a dense subset of $X$ by Lemma $3(\mathrm{v})$. So one can conclude that there exists some $f_{0}^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle J_{n}^{\prime} f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{0}^{\prime}, f\right\rangle \quad(f \in X)
$$

Comparing this result with Lemma $3(\mathrm{v})$ shows that $f_{0}^{\prime}$ is the desired extension of $f^{\prime}$. The converse direction, finally, is given by inequality (2.5).

The difference between Theorems 2 and 3 is that they treat the saturation problem in different spaces. In Theorem 2 the operators $T_{t}^{\prime}$ are regarded as elements of $\left|X^{\prime}\right|$, whereas in Theorem 3 they are considered as elements of $\left|D(B)^{\prime}\right|$. Although the proofs of both theorems are quite similar, it does not seem that one can be deduced from the other.

## 4. Applications

### 4.1. Semigroups of Operators

If $\{S(t) ; t \geqslant 0\}$ is a $\left(C_{0}\right)$-semigroup of operators defined on a Banach space $X$ (for definition see $\mid 2$, Section 1.1|), and $A$ is the infinitesimal generator, then $D(A)$ is dense in $X$, and by definition there holds

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \| t^{-1}|S(t) g-g|-\left.A g\right|_{X}=0 \quad(g \in D(A))
$$

i.e., $\{S(t) ; t \geqslant 0\}$ satisfies a Voronovskaja-type relation with $\varphi(t)=t$ and $B=A$. Moreover,

$$
J_{n} f:=n \int_{0}^{1 / n} S(t) f d t \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

defines a regularization process, as needed in Theorems 1 or 2 , and one has that $R(A-\lambda I)=X$ for all complex $\lambda$ with real part large enough (cf. $[2$, pp. 31, 32]). Finally, if $f^{\prime} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$, then (cf. [2, p. 48])

$$
\left\langle S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle S(u)^{\prime} A^{*} f^{\prime}, f\right\rangle d u \quad(f \in X ; t>0)
$$

This shows that $A^{*} f^{\prime}=0$ on $X$ implies $S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}$ for all $t>0$.
As an application of Theorem 2 one now obtains
Theorem 4. Let $\{S(t) ; t \geqslant 0\}$ be a $\left(C_{0}\right)$-semigroup of operators on a $B a n a c h$ space $X$ with infinitesimal generator $A$ :
(a) If $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ is such that $\lim _{\inf }^{t \rightarrow 0+} \mid ~\left\|t^{-1}\left[S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right]\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=0$, then $S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}$ for all $t>0$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent for $f^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ :
(i) $\left\|S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{X^{\prime}}=\mathscr{O}(t)(t \rightarrow 0+)$,
(ii) $f^{\prime} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$.

Theorem 4 states that $\left\{S(t)^{\prime}\right\}$ is saturated in $X^{\prime}$ with order $O(t)$, the saturation class being given by $D\left(A^{*}\right)$. Note that this result was already established by de Leeuw [7] using the Banach-Alaoglu theorem on $w^{*}$. compactness of bounded $w^{*}$-closed sets; see also [2, Theorem 2.1.4].

In order to apply Theorem 2, we restrict ourselves to holomorphic semigroups, which means that $S(t) f \in D(A)$ for all $f \in X$ and $t>0$. In this case one can use the results in [8] to obtain in addition assertions on nonoptimal approximation.

Theorem 5. Let $\{S(t) ; t \geqslant 0\}$ be a holomorphic $\left(C_{0}\right)$-semigroup of operators on a Banach space $X$.
(a) The following assertions are equivalent for $f^{\prime} \in D(A)^{\prime}$ and $0<\sigma<1$ :
(i) $\left\|S(t)^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{D(A)^{\prime}}=P\left(t^{\sigma}\right)(t \rightarrow 0+)$,
(ii) $K\left(t^{\sigma}, f^{\prime} ; D(A)^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=C\left(t^{\sigma}\right)(t \rightarrow 0+)$.
(b) $\left\{S(t)^{\prime}\right\}$ is saturated in $D(A)^{\prime}$ with order $O(t)$, the saturation class is given by $X^{\prime}$.

For the proof of part (a) and the definition of the $K$-functional see $|8|$. The Jackson-type inequality needed there follows from (2.4) and the Bernstein-type inequality from |2, Proposition 1.1.11|. Note that Theorem 5(b) remains valid if the assumption $\{S(t)\}$ to be holomorphic is dropped.

### 4.2. Convolution Integrals

As a further application of Theorem 3 we consider approximation processes generated by convolution integrals. Let $C_{2 \pi}$ denote the space of all continuous, $2 \pi$-periodic, complex-valued functions defined on the real axis $\Gamma$. endowed with the supremum norm $\|f\|_{c}$. A sequence of functions $\left\{\chi_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ in $C_{2 \pi}$ is called an approximate identity, if $\}_{-\infty} \chi_{k}(u) d u=2 \pi$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}!_{|u| \geqslant d}\left|\chi_{k}(u)\right| d u=0$ for each $\delta>0$. The convolution integrals of $f \in C_{2 \pi}$ with $\chi_{k}$ are defined as

$$
\left(V_{k} f\right)(x) \equiv\left(f * \chi_{k}\right)(x):=\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right|_{\pi} ^{\pi} f(x-u) \chi_{k}(u) d u \quad(k \in \mathbb{N} ; x \in \mathfrak{F}) .
$$

The $V_{k}$ are bounded linear operators from $C_{2 \pi}$ into itself satisfying

$$
V_{k} V_{j} f=V_{j} V_{k} f, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow x}\left\|V_{k} f-f\right\|_{c}=0 \quad\left(f \in C_{2 \pi} ; j, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

In order to apply Theorem 2 we assume that the $V_{k}$ satisfy a Voronovskaja-type relation with respect to the 2 nd derivative, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow x}\left\|k^{\alpha}\left|V_{k} g-g\right|-c g^{(2)}\right\|_{C}=0 \quad\left(g \in C_{2 \pi}^{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha>0, c \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$, where $C_{2 \pi}^{2}$ is the set of all $g \in C_{2 \pi}$ for which the 2nd derivative $g^{(2)}$ again belongs to $C_{2 \pi}$. So we have $B=c(d / d x)^{2}$. $D(B)=C_{2 \pi}^{2}$ and $\varphi(1 / k)=k^{-a}$.

As regularization operators $J_{n}$ one can take any convolution integral with underlying approximate identity $\left\{\kappa_{n}\right\} \subset C_{2 \pi}^{2}$. Finally, one has that for each complex $\lambda$ with $\lambda / c \neq j^{2}$ for $j=0,1,2, \ldots$ there holds $R\left(c(d / d x)^{2}-\lambda I\right)=C_{2 \pi}$.

In order to compute the dual space of $C_{2 \pi}^{2}$ we regard $C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}$ and $\left(C_{2 \pi}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ as subspaces of $\mathscr{Q}_{2 \pi}^{\prime}$, the space of all $2 \pi$-periodic distributions (cf. 10 . Chapter 111 ). On $\mathscr{Z}_{2 \pi}^{\prime}$ we consider the operator

$$
\left(I^{2} f^{\prime}\right)(x):={\underset{\substack{j-\infty \\ j \neq 0}}{\infty}(i j)^{-2} f^{\prime}(j) e^{i j, x} \quad\left(f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{O}_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right), ~ ;, ~}_{x}
$$

where convergence is to be understood in the topology of $\mathcal{\chi}_{2 \pi}^{\prime}$. and the distributional Fourier coefficients are given by $f^{\prime \wedge}(j):=(2 \pi)^{1}\left\langle f^{\prime}(x), e^{i x}\right.$.

Setting now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2} & :=\left\{f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{D}_{2 \pi}^{\prime} ; I^{2} f^{\prime} \in C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right\}, \\
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}} & :=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left\|I^{2} f^{\prime}\right\|_{C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\left(C_{2 \pi}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}$ are equal with equivalent norms (cf. [8]).
Theorem 6. Let $\left\{V_{k} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of convolution integrals satisfying (4.1) for some $\alpha>0, c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
(a) If $f^{\prime} \in\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}$ is such that $\lim \inf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|k^{\alpha}\left[V_{k}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right]\right\|_{\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}}=0$, then $V_{k}^{\prime} f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent for $f^{\prime} \in\left(C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}$ :
(i) $\left\|V_{k}^{\prime} f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right\|_{\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \pi}^{\prime}\right)^{-2}}=\mathcal{O}\left(k^{-\alpha}\right)(k \rightarrow \infty)$,
(ii) $f^{\prime} \in C_{2 \pi}^{\prime}$.

There are various convolution integrals satisfying the Voronovskaja-type relation (4.1), e.g., the integrals of Jackson with $\alpha=2, c=3 / 2$, of de La Vallee Poussin with $\alpha=1, c=1$ and those of Rogosinski with $\alpha=2$, $c=\pi^{2} / 8$ (cf. [3]).

Similarly as in Theorem 5 one can use the results in [8] to treat approximation orders $Q\left(k^{-\sigma}\right)$ for $0<\sigma<\alpha$. Results corresponding to those of Theorem 6 are valid in $L_{2 \pi}^{p}$-spaces.

Of course it would also be possible to apply Theorem 2 to convolution integrals. In this case one has to compute the spaces $D\left(B^{*}\right)$. For some particular integrals this is carried out in [7].
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